UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/539,599	11/08/2006	Bycong Han Jang	3427-0139PUS1	4760
	7590 . 01/19/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIRO	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			FRIDIE JR, WILLMON	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	3722	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/19/2007	ELECTRONIC	

### Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 01/19/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/539,599	JANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Willmon Fridie	3722	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	ss
A SHOWHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON  2. cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this community  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	50 57 57 17 10 4(5).			
1)⊠ 2a)⊟	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 D</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•	erits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  on Papers			^
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to to defend or b) objected to to drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12)[ / a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	ge
. •				
Attachment		🗖		
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) · No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/539,599

Art Unit: 3722

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to the orientation and location of the main blade relative to the other recited elements.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Astrom ('311).

Astrom ('311) discloses (1) a milling cutter, comprising: a cutter body provided with a plurality of insert seats (8); and a cutting insert (9) having a hexahedral shape and inserted into and fastened to each of the insert seats in either of two directions, wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/539,599 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

the cutting insert comprises: a through hole (10) formed in the cutting insert from an upper surface to a lower surface of the cutting insert; and first and second cutting blade parts (19,19') having the same shape and provided on first and second ends of the cutting insert, respectively, wherein the insert seats are radially formed inwards around a circumferential outer surface of the cutter body and are spaced apart from each other at regular intervals, and each of the insert seats comprises first and second locking holes respectively formed on first and second inner surfaces of the insert seat, so that the cutting insert is fastened to the insert seat by a locking screw which is tightened into the first or second locking hole of the insert seat after passing through the through hole of the cutting insert. With respect to claims 4 and 6, Astrom discloses rounded corner blades H1 and H2; and an inclined blade surface formed by the countersink (28)

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Astrom ('311).

With respect to claim 5, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the main blades of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. It appears that there would be no new or unexpected result from such a modification.

With respect to claims 7 and 8, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the cutting insert of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47. It appears that there would be no new or unexpected result from such a modification.

With respect to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed ratio, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3722

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willmon Fridie whose telephone number is 571 272 4476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MONICA CARTER can be reached on 571 272 4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*wf

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER